

# Homework 4

## Solutions

Book problems:

1. Problem 8.1 from the book (p 394)

*Solution:*

Let  $B = B(\hat{\theta})$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}MSE(\hat{\theta}) &= E[(\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2] = E[(\hat{\theta} - E(\hat{\theta}) + B)^2] = E[(\hat{\theta} - E(\hat{\theta}))^2] + E(B^2) + 2B \times E[\hat{\theta} - E(\hat{\theta})] \\&= V(\hat{\theta}) + B^2.\end{aligned}$$

2. Problem 8.2 from the book (p 394)

*Solution:*

**a.** The estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  is unbiased if  $E(\hat{\theta}) = \theta$ . Thus,  $B(\hat{\theta}) = 0$ .

**b.**  $E(\hat{\theta}) = \theta + 5$ .

3. Problem 8.3 from the book (p 394)

*Solution:*

**a.** Using Definition 8.3,  $B(\hat{\theta}) = a\theta + b - \theta = (a - 1)\theta + b$ .

**b.** Let  $\hat{\theta}^* = (\hat{\theta} - b)/a$ .

4. Problem 8.4 from the book (p 394)

*Solution:*

**a.** They are equal.

**b.**  $MSE(\hat{\theta}) > V(\hat{\theta})$ .

5. Problem 8.5 from the book (p 394)

*Solution:*

a. Note that  $E(\hat{\theta}^*) = \theta$  and  $V(\hat{\theta}^*) = V[(\hat{\theta} - b)/a] = V(\hat{\theta})/a^2$ . Then,

$$\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}^*) = V(\hat{\theta}^*) = V(\hat{\theta})/a^2.$$

b. Note that  $\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}) = V(\hat{\theta}) + B(\hat{\theta}) = V(\hat{\theta}) + [(a-1)\theta + b]^2$ . A sufficiently large value of  $a$  will force  $\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}^*) < \text{MSE}(\hat{\theta})$ . Example:  $a = 10$ .

c. A amply small value of  $a$  will make  $\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}^*) > \text{MSE}(\hat{\theta})$ . Example:  $a = .5, b = 0$ .

6. Problem 8.6 from the book (p 394)

*Solution:*

a.  $E(\hat{\theta}_3) = aE(\hat{\theta}_1) + (1-a)E(\hat{\theta}_2) = a\theta + (1-a)\theta = \theta$ .

b.  $V(\hat{\theta}_3) = a^2V(\hat{\theta}_1) + (1-a)^2V(\hat{\theta}_2) = a^2\sigma_1^2 + (1-a)\sigma_2^2$ , since it was assumed that  $\hat{\theta}_1$  and  $\hat{\theta}_2$  are independent. To minimize  $V(\hat{\theta}_3)$ , we can take the first derivative (with respect to  $a$ ), set it equal to zero, to find

$$a = \frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2}.$$

(One should verify that the second derivative test shows that this is indeed a minimum.)

7. Problem 8.7 from the book (p 394)

*Solution:*

Following Ex. 8.6 but with the condition that  $\hat{\theta}_1$  and  $\hat{\theta}_2$  are not independent, we find

$$V(\hat{\theta}_3) = a^2\sigma_1^2 + (1-a)\sigma_2^2 + 2a(1-a)c.$$

Using the same method w/ derivatives, the minimum is found to be

$$a = \frac{\sigma_2^2 - c}{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 - 2c}.$$

8. Problem 8.8 from the book (p 394)

*Solution:*

a. Note that  $\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2, \hat{\theta}_3$  and  $\hat{\theta}_5$  are simple linear combinations of  $Y_1, Y_2$ , and  $Y_3$ . So, it is easily shown that all four of these estimators are *unbiased*. From Ex. 6.81 it was shown that  $\hat{\theta}_4$  has an exponential distribution with mean  $\theta/3$ , so this estimator is biased.

b. It is easily shown that  $V(\hat{\theta}_1) = \theta^2$ ,  $V(\hat{\theta}_2) = \theta^2/2$ ,  $V(\hat{\theta}_3) = 5\theta^2/9$ , and  $V(\hat{\theta}_5) = \theta^2/9$ , so the estimator  $\hat{\theta}_5$  is unbiased and has the smallest variance.

9. Problem 8.20 from the book (p 396)

*Solution:*

If  $Y$  has an exponential distribution with mean  $\theta$ , then by Ex. 4.11,  $E(\sqrt{Y}) = \sqrt{\pi\theta}/2$ .

**a.** Since  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  are independent,  $E(X) = \pi\theta/4$  so that  $(4/\pi)X$  is unbiased for  $\theta$ .

**b.** Following part a, it is easily seen that  $E(W) = \pi^2\theta^2/16$ , so  $(4^2/\pi^2)W$  is unbiased for  $\theta^2$ .

10. Let  $(X_1, X_2) \sim N_2(0, 0, 1, 1, \rho)$  (i.e.  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  share a Bi-Variate normal distribution)

a) Show that  $\hat{\rho} = X_1X_2$  is an unbiased estimator for  $\rho$  (pronounced Rho) *Hint:* The conditional Expectation Theorem can be used here

*Solution:*

First, note that since  $(X_1, X_2) \sim N_2(0, 0, 1, 1, \rho)$ , we know that (from crib-sheet/last semester)

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &\sim N(0, 1) \text{ and} \\ X_2|X_1 = x_1 &\sim N(\rho(x_1), 1 - \rho^2). \end{aligned}$$

Each implies  $E[X_1] = 0$  and  $E[X_2|X_1 = x_1] = \rho x_1$ , respectively. Thus we can see

$$\begin{aligned} E[X_1X_2] &= E[E[X_1X_2|X_1 = x_1]] \\ &= E[X_1E[X_2|X_1 = x_1]] \\ &= E[X_1\rho X_1] \\ &= \rho E[X_1^2] \\ &= \rho(V[X_1] + E^2[X_1]) \\ &= \rho \end{aligned}$$

and thus  $X_1X_2$  is an unbiased estimator for  $\rho$ .

b) Find  $MSE(\hat{\rho})$ . *Hint:* The conditional Variance Theorem can be used here

*Solution:*

Using the marginal and conditional distributions discussed in the previous part, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} MSE(\hat{\rho}) &= MSE(X_1X_2) \\ &= V[X_1X_2] + B^2(X_1X_2) \\ &= V[X_1X_2] \\ &= E[V[X_1X_2|X_1 = x_1]] + V[E[X_1X_2|X_1 = x_1]] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= E[X_1^2 V[X_2|X_1 = x_1]] + V[X_1 E[X_2|X_1 = x_1]] \\
&= E[X_1^2(1 - \rho^2)] + V[X_1 X_1 \rho] \leftarrow \text{Since } X_2|X_1 = x_1 \sim N(\rho x_1, 1 - \rho^2) \\
&= (1 - \rho^2)E[X_1^2] + \rho^2 V[X_1^2] \\
&= 1 - \rho^2 + 2\rho^2 \leftarrow \text{Since } X_1 \sim N(0, 1) \Rightarrow X_1^2 \sim \chi_1^2 \\
&= 1 + \rho^2
\end{aligned}$$

11. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be i.i.d.  $\text{Exp}(\delta)$  where  $\delta > 0$  is unknown.

- a) Show that  $\bar{X} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n}$  is an unbiased estimator for  $\delta$   
*Solution:*

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}
E[\bar{X}] &= E\left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n}\right] \\
&= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i]}{n} \\
&= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \delta}{n} \leftarrow \text{Since } X_1, \dots, X_n \text{ are i.i.d. } \text{Exp}(\delta) \\
&= \frac{n\delta}{n} \\
&= \delta \\
\Rightarrow B(\bar{X}) &= \delta - \delta \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\bar{X}$  is an unbiased estimator for  $\delta$ . □

- b) Show that  $nX_{(1)} = n \times \min(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is an unbiased estimator for  $\delta$   
*Solution:*

*Proof.* First, either claim  $X_{(1)} \sim \text{Exp}(\delta/n)$  from class, or prove that  $X_{(1)} \sim \text{Exp}(\delta/n)$  as below:

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{X_{(1)}}(x) &= n(1 - F(x))^{n-1} f(x) \\
&= \begin{cases} n(1 - (1 - e^{-x/\delta}))^{n-1} e^{-x/\delta} & 0 < x < \infty \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \leftarrow \text{Since } X_1, \dots, X_n \text{ are iid } \text{Exp}(\delta) \\
&= \begin{cases} n e^{-nx/\delta} & 0 < x < \infty \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $X_{(1)}$  has the PDF of  $Exp(\delta/n)$ , we conclude that  $X_{(1)} \sim Exp(\delta/n)$ .  
Thus

$$\begin{aligned} E[nX_{(1)}] &= nE[X_{(1)}] \\ &= n(\delta/n) \leftarrow \text{Since } X_{(1)} \sim Exp(\delta/n) \\ &= \delta \\ \Rightarrow B(nX_{(1)}) &= \delta - \delta \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $nX_{(1)}$  is an unbiased estimator for  $\delta$ . □

c) Which estimator is better for estimating  $\delta$ ,  $\bar{X}$  or  $nX_{(1)}$ ?

*Solution:*

*Proof.* Note: Since  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are i.i.d.  $Exp(\delta)$ , we see that  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \Gamma(n, \delta)$

$\begin{aligned} MSE(\bar{X}) &= V[\bar{X}] + B^2(\bar{X}) \\ &= V[\bar{X}] \\ &\quad \uparrow \text{Since } \bar{X} \text{ is unbiased} \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} V\left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} n\delta^2 \\ &\quad \uparrow \text{Since } \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \Gamma(n, \delta) \\ &= \frac{\delta^2}{n} \end{aligned}$		$\begin{aligned} MSE(nX_{(1)}) &= V[nX_{(1)}] + B^2(nX_{(1)}) \\ &= V[nX_{(1)}] \leftarrow \text{Since } nX_{(1)} \text{ is unbiased} \\ &= n^2 V[X_{(1)}] \\ &= n^2 \frac{\delta^2}{n^2} \\ &\quad \uparrow \text{Since } X_{(1)} \sim Exp(\delta/n) \\ &= \delta^2 \end{aligned}$
--	--	---

Since both estimators are unbiased and  $MSE(\bar{X}) < MSE(nX_{(1)})$  we conclude that  $\bar{X}$  is the better estimator. □

Challenge Question:

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be i.i.d.  $U(-\theta, \theta)$ . Find an unbiased estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  that is a function of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$